A AND
FLORIDA

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOV			
AIRS ID#: 0950024 DA		ARRIVE: <u>9:50 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>11:35 AM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: FL	ORIDA ROCK/CARDER ROAD) PLANT			
FACILITY LOCATION	S109 CARDER RD				
	ORLANDO 32802				
RESPONSIBLE OFFIC	IAL:	PHON	E: (904)355-1781		
CONTACT NAME: St	an Smith	PHON	IE: (407)298-1900		
REMITTANCE YEAR:	2007 ENTITLE	EMENT PERIOD: 8/28/200 (effective d			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check					
 (check ☑ appropriat Stack Emissions Were visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?- Are emissions fro controlled to the e During visible emia at a rate that is repunless such rate is Are emissions fro to this question is skip 4.a) and 4.b) a) Was the batchii b) During the visiduration?	sions tests conducted during this a m silos, weigh hoppers (batchers) extent necessary to limit visible er issions tests of the silo dust collectores oresentative of the normal silo loa s unachievable in practice?	site visit according to EPA M), and other enclosed storage missions to 5 percent opacity ctor exhaust points was the lo iding rate, or at least at the mi- eration controlled by the silo ions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If an the visible emissions test?	Iethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter		

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)		
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)		
 <u>Compliance Demonstration</u> - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)		
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)		
2. Did this facility demonstrate:		
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?		
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes] No		
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Xes Yes No 		
 Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? ∑Yes ∑No 		

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary \boxtimes ; 2) a relocatable \square ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable \square concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check \square only one box.</i>)

2.	 If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d</i>),) <i>below.</i>)	ing ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No
3.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? b) material processed on a monthly basis? c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	⊠Yes □ No ⊠Yes □ No ⊠Yes □ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

1)) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Xes No
2)) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
	emissions?
3)) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
	re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Xes No
4)) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
	particulate matter from stock piles? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
) us	se of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Xes No

PART IV: Special CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?----- D) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?-----

c)	replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
	recent notification form?	Yes	🛛 No
d)	If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
	notification form and appropriate for (Bule 62.4.050, EAC) to the appropriate DEP or		

notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?-----
Yes No

Norma Ali

b

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

8/17/07

Date of Inspection

8/17/08

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS:

Test of the Concrete Batch Plant was canceled. It will be scheduled for the near future. VE audit performed on the Block Plant: Observed Opacity = 0%26.97 Tons x 60 min 50 min 1 hr = 32.36 TPH

Norma Ali met with Stan Smith, Area Manager, Central Florida Division who provided the following records for the last 12 Months:

Total Annual fuel usage = 137,741 Gal. Cement Processed = 10, 997 Tons Hours of Operation = 3,378

He also provided a copy of one of the last Straight Bill of Lading, fuel delivery copy, where it shows that they are using Ultra Low Sulfur Diesel Fuel (15-ppm Sulfur Maximum)

The yard is mostly dirt on the south part of the property. It was mostly dry. Part of the yard used for product (blocks) storage is paved. No visible emissions were observed during the batching process. No unconfined uncontrolled emissions were observed.